Overview

Research assessing the impact of the Office of Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS Office)—and the Hiring program in particular—dates back to 2000 and the National Institute of Justice-sponsored evaluation of the first four years of COPS Office funding. Since that time, criminologists and economists from some of the nation’s leading universities and think tanks have attempted to assess to what extent the investment of COPS Office funding in state and local law enforcement agencies has influenced police practice and crime rates across the nation. The essential question is whether the grant programs help the COPS Office fulfill its mission to advance community policing and make communities safer.

The research so far makes it clear that, at the aggregate level, it is difficult to quantify exactly how much of an impact COPS Office funding has had, but the claim that both the hiring of additional officers and funding for police innovation has made a difference in American communities is supported by the evidence. For example:

- COPS funding increased officers per capita in 2009 relative to 2007-8 by almost 2 percent and led to declines in reported UCR Part 1 crimes of approximately 5 percent. A similar estimated decline in arrests was found, suggesting that deterrence, rather than incapacitation, may on net be the mechanism driving these results (Cook et al. 2017).

- Each additional COPS-funded officer is associated with 2.9 fewer violent crimes and 16.23 fewer property crimes per 10,000 residents the year following their hiring (Mello 2017).

- Using the most conservative effect sizes, the estimated social value per officer is $417,456, with the total benefit of the COPS program from 2009 to 2013 being approximately $5.6 billion, which suggests that the program easily passes a cost-benefit test (Mello 2017).

- COPS Office hiring grants resulted in a marked drop in crime across seven index crime groups. They also increased arrest productivity in drug use and disorderly conduct categories (Lilley and Boba 2008).
COPS Office funding results in higher police arrest productivity and a reduction in crime across four index crime categories. The effect is significant in communities of less than 100,000 (Zhao, Zhang, and Thurman 2011).

Each additional dollar devoted to the COPS Office program generates somewhere between $4 to $8.50 in savings to society (Donohue and Ludwig 2007).

The COPS Office’s innovative grants, with an average spending amount of $620,000, have been shown to produce a victim cost-savings of $1,341,874 (Muhlhausen 2006).

For each additional dollar of miscellaneous COPS Office grants per capita, the expected value of violent crime has been shown to decline by almost 16.2 incidents per 100,000 people (Muhlhausen 2001).

Each dollar of hiring grants per resident has been shown to contribute to a corresponding decline of 5.26 violent crimes and 21.63 property crimes per 100,000 residents (Zhao and Thurman 2001).

Perhaps most significantly, the Government Accountability Office (GAO) concluded in its report on the COPS Office that “as a demonstration of whether a federal program can affect crime through hiring officers and changing policing practices, the evidence indicates that COPS contributed to declines in crime above the levels of declines that would have been expected without it.” In addition, two studies using a well-regarded technique (regression discontinuity) that approximates a randomized controlled test find that COPS grants are associated with increases in the number of officers in agencies and produce significant declines in violent and property crimes without producing corresponding increases in arrest rates. Although policing practice has changed over the last 20 years, during which the underlying assumptions of what police do in terms of crime prevention and response have remained the same, research over this time has consistently shown that police do have an impact on crime. What changes there have been—especially in terms of how community policing increases police emphasis on preventative and social engagement activities and moves beyond the implied deterrence of directed patrol—should only increase the impact police have on crime, not lessen it. Consequently, all of the research produced in the last decade on the effectiveness of federal funding for local law enforcement remains relevant to discussions of such funding in the 21st century.
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Summary: This study estimates the effects of 2009 COPS Office Hiring Recovery Program (CHRP) grant funding on changes in police force strength, arrest rates and crime rates. The authors replicate the COPS Office funding processes for the $1 billion in grants awarded to local law enforcement agencies as a part of the 2009 Recovery Act. The analysis uses a natural experiment that resulted from how this funding was awarded. The study compares those agencies with application scores just above the cutoff, and that were far more likely to be successful with their funding requests as a result, to a similar sample of agencies with scores just below the cutoff. This well regarded statistical technique, called a regression discontinuity design, creates two closely comparable groups and it approximates a randomized controlled study.

The authors used Uniform Crime Report (UCR) data for crime and arrests measures and used both UCR data and U.S. Census Annual Survey of Government Data to measure police force strength. The authors describe the extensive efforts they undertook to properly clean all of the data and account for outliers. The authors compared the results from each year 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 (post-treatment years) to the baseline year of 2007 to 2008 (pre-treatment years). They also conducted separate analyses for all agencies applying for COPS funding (7,202 agencies) and agencies that serve populations of 0 to 50,000 residents (4,954 agencies) to examine potential differences resulting from population size. Finally, they examined agencies where a COPS Office award would have potentially increased the total force strength of the agency by more than 5% to see if those agencies with a more significant desired investment of officers experienced a different impact.
Key findings:

- Agencies that received COPS Office funding increased their sworn force strength in 2009 by 1.9 percent from 2007–2008 levels when compared to agencies who were unsuccessful in their funding. For agencies with populations from 0 to 50,000, this increase was 2.4 percent.

- By 2010, the first full calendar year after COPS funding was issued, the decline in total UCR crime rates from 2007–2008 levels of CHRP funded agencies compared to non-funded agencies is 4.5 percent. In 2011, the estimates increase to a 5.5 percent decline and then in 2012 to a 5.1 percent decline, although the 2012 finding is not significant at the .05 level (p-value of .079).

- Violent crime rates in COPS-funded CHRP agencies declined 9.2 percent in 2010 relative to 2007–8 compared to non-funded agencies.

- Among property crimes, none of the estimates are significant at the 10 percent level or lower; however, among smaller agencies (serving between 0 and 50,000 residents), the effect on property crime rates appears to be both larger and more precisely estimated (7.6 percent decline in 2012 relative to 2007–8, p-value of .038).

- Arrest rates in 2010 relative to 2007–8 are 6.2 percent smaller for CHRP funded agencies compared to non-funded agencies. COPS funding was associated with a decline in arrests. As with crime rates, the effects on violent arrest rates tend to be larger than those on property arrest rates.

- COPS funding increased officers per capita in 2009 relative to 2007–8 by almost 2 percent for agencies near the funding threshold and led to declines in reported UCR Part 1 crimes of approximately 5 percent in subsequent years, albeit estimated with varying degrees of precision. A similar estimated decline in arrests was found in 2010, suggesting that deterrence, rather than incapacitation, may on net be the mechanism driving these results.

- Though not sufficiently strong to conclude that there are increasing returns to police spending, the results presented here provide suggestive evidence that additional police resources represent a cost-effective approach to reduce crime.


Summary: This study exploits a unique natural experiment to estimate the causal effects of police on crime. The study uses a sample of 4,374 cities who applied for COPS Office hiring program funding from 2009 to 2013. It compares those agencies who received funding to those below the funding threshold who are of similar size and who followed similar trends prior to receiving COPS Office grants. The authors use multiple statistical techniques to compare the changes in applicant property and violent crimes rates, sworn force levels, and arrest rates. These methods include the use of a regression discontinuity analysis, a well-regarded statistical technique that approximates a randomized controlled trial.

Key findings:

- Relative to low scoring applicants, cities above the funding cutoff experienced increases in police levels of about 3.6 percent and decreases in violent and property crimes of about 4.8 percent and 3 percent respectively.

- Arrest rates do not appear to increase with police force expansions, suggesting a deterrence mechanism underlying the crime reductions rather than incapacitation.

- The estimates suggest that an additional COPS-funded officer is associated with 2.9 fewer violent crimes and 16.23 fewer property crimes per 10,000 residents the year following their hiring.

- COPS-funded police force increases generate statistically significant declines in murders, robberies, larcenies, and auto thefts. An additional officer leads to approximately .09 fewer murders, 1.4 fewer robberies, 8.6 fewer larcenies and 3.5 fewer auto thefts per 10,000 residents the year following their hiring.

- The findings imply that one life can be saved from homicide by hiring eleven additional police officers.

- Using the most conservative effect sizes, the estimated social value per officer is $417,456, with the total benefit of the COPS program from 2009 to 2013 being approximately $5.6 billion, which suggests that the program easily passes a cost-benefit test.

Summary: The authors examine the success of problem-oriented policing (POP) in Colorado Springs, Colorado, one of the first major American cities to fully implement and organizationally embrace this method. The authors examined 753 cases of problem-oriented policing events in Colorado Springs. The results of this examination found that the SARA (scanning, analysis, response, and assessment) method of problem-oriented policing was successful in reducing crime and, more importantly, in increasing officer awareness of community issues and distributing community policing training. An initial COPS Office grant of nearly $1 million, along with the office's guidance, advice, and human resources, enabled the Colorado Springs Police Department to implement these strategies.” Given the intense resource and human capital needs of fully implementing problem-oriented policing in a department, COPS Office grants are beneficial in allowing smaller departments to fully implement this philosophy.

Key findings:

- Problem-oriented policing is an idea promoted by the COPS Office that emphasizes proactivity rather than reactivity in law enforcement.

- Problem-oriented policing has been the subject of intense scrutiny among researchers and has been described as an effective approach to improve public safety.

- Colorado Springs Police Department has implemented problem-oriented policing successfully and has become a benchmark program for many international law enforcement agencies. Much of the city's success can be attributed to a nearly $1 million grant given by the COPS Office in 1997.

- Core problem-oriented policing ideas, including the necessity of targeting specific underlying conditions that give rise to problems, are critical to the effectiveness of community policing.

- COPS Office and other federal grants make it easier for law enforcement agencies, especially smaller ones, to focus attention on proactive problem-solving activities.

- COPS Office grants provide, most critically, the ability for agencies to train officers and diffuse POP and community policing ideas throughout an agency, reducing the reactive nature of hierarchical policing structures.

- Implementation of the SARA method through COPS Office grants has successfully reduced crime.


Summary: This study builds on previous studies of isomorphism and institutional pressure in promoting community-oriented policing methods nationwide. The findings reaffirm the idea that federal grants, namely COPS Office grants, can achieve an effective level of institutional pressure through the diffusion of resources, methods, and training. The authors look at how agencies emulate one another and professionalize their officers by training them in community policing. Interaction between entities in the law enforcement field develops a community standard. COPS Office grants and the publicizing of the community policing philosophy institutionalize this standard in the expectations held by politicians, citizens, and other police agencies. The authors call these expectations “institutional pressures.” Information on the adoption of community policing was collected via a sample submitted by the administrators and chiefs of 1,637 agencies. The survey found that police agencies are likely to adopt community policing when the law enforcement community at large espouses the philosophy. Consequently, large federal efforts to influence the adoption of community policing, such as COPS Office grants, are influential beyond the confines of grantee agencies, as non-grantee agencies in the vicinity come under institutional pressure to follow suit.

Key findings:

- Ideological centrism is critical for the spread of community-oriented policing ideas.

- “Institutional pressure,” or the ability of large institutions to impose ideas on smaller ones, is of extreme importance in community policing proliferation.

- Community policing follows the structure of isomorphism, meaning that it gains a following based on the adoption and diffusion of its tenets among departments.
Resources, such as training manuals, films, and seminars are critical components of distributing community-oriented policing ideas.

COPS Office grants and other federal efforts are effective initial pushes that begin chains of institutional pressure and allow smaller departments to implement community-oriented policing.


Summary: This study attempts to demonstrate a relationship between police productivity (arrests) and the resources provided by COPS Office hiring grants. The authors conclude that COPS Office grants provide a direct stimulus to manpower and overall police productivity. In smaller departments, this increase in productivity is also found to reduce overall crime and improve the quality of life in those communities. In cities of more than 100,000 people, the effect on crime is less conclusive, but arrests are positively correlated with COPS Office hiring grants in four separate categories of arrest.

Key findings:
- COPS Office hiring grants are “significantly associated” with increasing productive police action, including arrests and labor-intensive activities.
- Extra manpower provided by COPS Office hiring and Making Officer Redeployment Effective (MORE) grants provides the key to reducing crime and improving quality of life in smaller communities.
- COPS Office hiring grants accounted for a significant increase in productive arrests in communities that received them. This was observable in large and small populations.
- Hiring grants had a more significant effect on increasing police productivity than MORE grants when controlling for socioeconomic variables and crime.
- The findings in this study correspond to those published in a previous study by Zhao, Scheider and Thurman (2002) that also found that COPS Office hiring grants provide reductions in crime in smaller police agencies.


Summary: Recent budget crises have heightened the need for better information on the value of public investment in controlling crime. Policymakers need to be able to use objective measures to identify policies that yield the greatest benefits given finite resources. One of the most common crime control investments made by local and state governments is spending on police personnel. While academic researchers have made substantial advances in estimating the cost of crime and the effectiveness of police in recent years, this research is underused by the policymaking community. This paper summarizes the existing research on the effectiveness of police in preventing crime and serves as a bridge to helping policymakers understand what the current social-science literature can tell them about the value of investments in police. In addition to other work on police hiring, it looks at research on the effects of community-oriented policing investments by Evans and Owens (2007); the U.S. Government Accountability Office (2005); Zhao, Scheider, and Thurman (2002); and Worrall and Kovandzic (2007).

Key findings:
- Cost-benefit analysis is a powerful tool for objectively evaluating the merits of crime control programs. In addition, applying this framework to real-world hiring and firing scenarios shows that investment in police personnel generates net social benefits, as well as reductions in crime that are likely to be savings over and above the hiring costs.
- A number of studies using different methods have found that COPS Office grants reduced crime. The one recent study that did not (Worrall and Kovandzic 2007) used a narrow set of cities and chose to measure COPS Office grants using dollars per local resident, making it unable to address the impact of the grants on the size of the sworn force, which is what other research has shown has an effect on reducing crime.
- “Although effect estimates vary from study to study, the general message is that... increases in police staffing levels do generate measureable decreases in crime.”

Summary: This study addresses the structural and environmental differences among agencies and how those differences affect the proliferation of community policing implementation. Morabito conducts a multivariable analysis of the hierarchical structure in 474 police jurisdictions to determine the ease of adoption of community policing. The study demonstrates that community policing practices are more-easily diffused in larger agencies and ones where there is a greater deal of organizational commitment. Larger agencies have an easier time implementing “radical” changes like community policing, because their power is more organized and centralized and their human capital more abundant. However, the federal grants made available by the COPS Office can make it easier for smaller agencies to increase their organizational commitment and human capital so that they may also practice community policing.

Key findings:

- Based on a study by Trojanowicz and Bucqueroux, community policing was slower to diffuse in the decades predating the foundation of the COPS Office.

- Community policing ideas diffuse more quickly in environments with centralized power and general “from-the-top” guidance. COPS Office grants give grantees access to resources and federal credibility that make the transition easier.

- Smaller agencies have a harder time implementing community policing, and thus benefit most directly from federal funding.

- Federal guidance on community policing implementation has diffused a proactive, rather than reactive, policing environment in agencies.

- Federal grants from the COPS Office can be the motivating factor for agencies, especially smaller ones, to invest in this strategy.

- An agency’s organizational commitment to pursuing community policing practices, or the factors of training and time it dedicates, affects their implementation. The COPS Office emphasizes the importance of such training, increasing the rate at which these practices are adopted.


Summary: This research addresses the idea that community policing has helped improve methods of terrorism prevention. According to a survey of 213 police chiefs in and around Virginia, the community policing strategies disseminated through grants and ideas from the COPS Office and other federal entities has made it easier for police to identify potential sources of terrorism threats. This is especially noticeable in large agencies that benefit highly from federal grants and that, without community-oriented policing training, may not work with the community as closely as smaller agencies.

Key findings:

- A survey of 213 Virginia police chiefs found that there is a collaborative relationship between community-oriented policing ideas and improved homeland security.

- COPS Office grants provide funding for police to disseminate community policing ideas, which researchers argue facilitate intelligence gathering and prevent terrorist activity by fostering relationships between police and the community.

- Chiefs with a four-year degree are more likely to emphasize the merits of community policing efficacy. This stresses the significant role that education plays in the implementation of community policing concepts to address homeland security concerns.

- Police executives that have received COPS Office grants and embraced COP philosophy are more likely to retain these ideas long-term—a testament to the diffusion of community policing ideas in areas affected by federal grants.

- Smaller agencies were less likely to emphasize the connection between COPS Office grants and homeland security.

- Larger, more bureaucratic departments rely more heavily on federal funding and are harmed by fluctuations in year-to-year funding. COPS Office grants go further in larger departments, whereas smaller ones act with a higher degree of independence.

Summary: This study intends to explain the differences in results between COPS Office hiring grants and Local Law Enforcement Block Grants (LLEBG), which were previously assumed to be similar if not identical in function. Previous conceptions of the grants assumed that LLEBG efforts would be used in conjunction and in a support role to COPS Office grants, but the research shows that is not entirely true. Lilley and Boba find a redundancy in the functions of both grants in that they are similarly focused. LLEBGs provide similar results on crime reduction as COPS Office grants, even when used independently of COPS Office grants. Two key differences exist. One is that COPS Office hiring grants add additional manpower to departments, unlike LLEBGs. This increases police arrest productivity. The second difference is the focus LLEBGs have on reducing arrests in minor categories, such as drug use and minor disorder.

Key findings:
- COPS Office hiring grants have a direct effect on increasing the number of police officers and drug arrests, as well as a reduction in overall crime in the areas they serve.
- LLEBGs are on-par with COPS Office hiring grants in reducing crime and increasing certain arrests.
- COPS Office hiring grants are strongly associated with an increase in officer training and numbers of officers within jurisdictions.
- COPS Office hiring grants result in a higher number of new officer hires than LLEBGs.
- The increases in drug-related arrests that resulted in COPS Office grantee departments can be explained as a result of having more officers.
- LLEBGs have been more focused than COPS Office grants on drug and minor disorder arrests, which explains the reduction in arrests in these categories that occurs in LLEBG jurisdictions.


Summary: The COPS Office has been effective in putting more police officers on the street. The best available evidence suggests that more police lead to less crime. One of the best of these studies is by University of Chicago economist Steven Levitt, who examines what happens in cities that increase police spending for reasons unrelated to what else is occurring with local crime trends (for example, because of stronger public service unions). Levitt’s estimates suggest that each 10 percent increase in the size of the police force reduces violent crime by 4 percent and property crimes by 5 percent. The 2 percent jump in the number of police generated by the COPS Office should reduce violent crimes by about 0.8 percent and property crimes by about 1 percent. The costs of crime to American society are so large—perhaps as much as $2 trillion per year—even small percentage reductions in crime can reap very large benefits. The authors’ calculations suggest that a $1.4 billion investment in COPS Office funding is likely to generate a benefit to society valued from $6 billion to $12 billion.

Key findings:
- “COPS appears to be one of the most cost-effective options available for fighting crime.” The authors estimate that “each additional dollar devoted to the COPS program may generate somewhere in excess of $4 to $8.50 in benefits to society.”
- A distinct advantage to having this funding come from the Federal Government rather than through local or state sources is that the Federal Government alone has the power to run budget deficits, thereby avoiding the undesirable consequence of a decrease in funding for police when state and local revenues decline.


Summary: By mid-2001, the COPS Office program had awarded an estimated $5 billion in hiring grants, paying for nearly 70,000 new police officers. This paper uses annual data from 2,074 cities with populations in excess of 10,000 to show that for each officer paid for by grant funds, the size of the force expands by 0.70 officers. This finding allowed the authors to use the size of COPS Office grants as an instrument for the size of the police force in crime outcome analysis. Their models indicate that police added to the force by the
COPS Office generate statistically significant reductions in auto thefts, burglaries, robberies, and aggravated assaults and that the COPS Office technology program investment generates reductions in these same crime categories as well as in larcenies.

Key findings:
- COPS Office grants tend to “stick where they hit” and increase the size of the police force as intended.
- Analysis found statistically precise negative drops in crime in the years following receipt of a COPS Office hiring grant in four of seven index crimes (auto theft, burglary, robbery, and aggravated assault).
- COPS Office grants that allowed agencies to invest capital in new policing technology generated small but statistically precise drops in the same four index crimes as well as larcenies.
- The costs incurred by the COPS Office and local governments in implementing Hiring Program grants “are far outweighed by the monetary benefit of the resulting reductions in crime.”


Summary: The authors qualitatively assess the impact of federal funding in the 1990s on the percentage change in police employment and on an index of progressive policing practices. Gathering a sample of data from 177 municipal agencies with more than 100 full-time officers, as well as from the Law Enforcement Management and Administrative Statistics (LEMAS), they examine change in the use of community policing activities such as the active use of problem solving, the creation of partnerships with community stakeholders, and the presence of a formal community policing plan. The study also examines whether targeted federal funds were correlated with municipal police manpower enhancements.

Key findings:
- Federal funding is strongly correlated to manpower enhancements, while crime rates, population growth or decline, median income, and racial diversity are not. “Federal dollars were spent to purchase what legislators targeted these dollars for—namely, more police officers on the streets of American cities.”
- Police agencies that received the largest innovation awards were significantly more likely to report engaging in community policing practices than those receiving little to no innovation dollars.
- The authors conclude that where federal expenditures for law enforcement manpower are made available in sufficiently high quantities, organizational change occurs.


Summary: Merging the six years of panel data used by Zhao, Scheider, and Thurman (2003) with 11 years of panel data from a sample of just 189 large cities, and controlling for pre-existing effects on crime of policing spending, this study concludes that the COPS Office spending on hiring has little to no effect on crime rates.

Key findings:
- “It is quite possible, and indeed likely, that targeted policing programs, including some of those funded by the Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, reduce crime. In fact, that is exactly what the National Research Council reported in a recent review of effective policing strategies.”
- In the 189 large cities used in this analysis, the average city fiscal expenditures were $69 million, and the average COPS Office hiring grant only $400,000. At half of one percent of the typical agency budget, any effect on crime of a COPS Office hiring grant would be unexpected.
- The use of only 189 large cities (as opposed to the more than 6,000 communities used by Zhao, Scheider, and Thurman) is a limitation of the study that may have influenced the results, as in smaller communities the COPS Office funding for officer salaries would make up a significantly larger percentage of the overall law enforcement budget.


Summary: In this brief paper, economists at Yale and Georgetown Universities examine the existing research pertaining to the COPS Office program and calculate
that “each dollar devoted to COPS is likely to generate at least $6 to $12 in benefits to society . . . that adding $1.4 billion in funding for the COPS program would thus avert between $6 and $12 billion in victimization costs to the American people, making COPS one of the most cost-effective ways to reduce crime. Indeed, these benefit-cost ratios are extremely high compared to other government programs, making COPS one of the most attractive federal expenditure programs available—not just for tackling crime, but for any governmental purpose.”


Summary: Do COPS Office grants stimulate local police department spending in large cities? Do COPS Office grants deter crime in large cities? To determine the impact of COPS Office grants on city police expenditures, this study analyzes two models using panel data from 58 large cities. The first set of models estimates a police expenditure function with police expenditures as the dependent variable. The police expenditure function is specified with variables that are thought to predict police spending. The second set of models estimates the relationship between COPS Office grants and crime rates. In the crime models, the dependent variables are crime rates for murder, rape, robbery, assault, burglary, larceny-theft, and motor vehicle theft.

Key findings:

- For some crime rates, COPS Office grants do have a deterrent effect. The hiring grants are linked only to reductions in robberies, while these grants failed to have measurable effects on the other crime rates.

- Based on modeling estimates, the COPS Office innovative grants, with an average spending amount of $620,000, produced a victim cost-savings of $1,341,874.

- “Overall, the innovative grants are allocated the smallest share of COPS funding but appear to produce the greatest monetary benefits.”


Summary: The U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) was asked to evaluate the effect of the COPS Office program on the decline in crime during the 1990s. The GAO developed and analyzed a database containing annual observations on crime, police officers, COPS Office funds, and other factors related to crime, covering years prior to and during the COPS Office program (from 1990 through 2001). The GAO analyzed survey data on policing practices that agencies reportedly implemented and reviewed studies of policing practices. The GAO assessed (1) how the COPS Office obligations were distributed and how much was spent; (2) the extent to which COPS Office expenditures contributed to increases in the number of police officers and declines in crime nationwide; and (3) the extent to which COPS Office grants during the 1990s were associated with policing practices that crime literature indicates could be effective.

Key findings:

- For the years 1994 through 2001, the GAO found that COPS Office hiring grant expenditures contributed to increases in sworn officer levels above the levels that would have been expected without these funds.

- GAO estimated that the COPS Office grant expenditures contributed to the reduction in crime in the 1990s independently of other factors that they were able to take into account in their analysis.

- “As a demonstration of whether a federal program can affect crime through hiring officers and changing policing practices, the evidence indicates that the COPS Office contributed to declines in crime above the levels of declines that would have been expected without it.”


Summary: This preliminary report by the US Government Accountability Office (GAO) evaluates the effect of the COPS Office program on the decline in crime during the 1990s.

Key findings:

- The crimes reduced because of COPS Office grant expenditures amounted to about 8 percent of the total decline in index crimes and about 13 percent of the total decline in violent crimes from 1993 levels.
During the years 1999 and 2000, when the COPS Office expenditures averaged about $829 million per year (or about 1.5 percent of all local law enforcement expenditures) and crime continued to decline, the GAO calculated that the COPS Office-funded reductions in crimes accounted for about 5 percent of the total reduction in index crimes and about 10 percent of the total reduction in violent crimes from their 1993 levels.


Summary: At the 2004 Democratic Convention, Clinton argued that he had put police on the street and taken guns off but that Bush had done the opposite. Was Clinton truly more anticrime? In this study, the author compares the two administrations’ investments in the COPS Office funding and calculates the impact of that investment on crime using cost-effectiveness models developed by University of Chicago economist and John Bates Medal winner Steven Levitt.

Key findings:
- Econometric studies show that a 10 percent increase in police should generate a drop in crime in the range of four to five percent.
- By targeting the new police in higher crime areas, the Clinton COPS Office program can take credit for a greater total drop in crime of about six to eight percent.


Summary: Adding additional years to the authors’ previous study, this COPS Office-funded study found that “COPS hiring and innovative grant programs are related to significant reductions in local crime rates in cities with populations greater than 10,000 for both violent and non-violent offenses.” Further, “in cities with populations greater than 10,000 an increase in one dollar of hiring grants per resident contributed to a corresponding decline of 10.95 violent crimes and 27.88 property crimes per 100,000 residents.”


Summary: In a study related to the authors’ 2004 evaluation (above), this research empirically examines the contribution that funding provided by the COPS Office has had on police productivity in the United States from 1995 to 1999. Six years of panel data were assembled to assess the effect of COPS Office funding on police productivity (arrest) while controlling for other factors that could influence the relationship. The COPS Office funding data was combined with Uniform Crime Report data, 1990 U.S. Census data, and Bureau of Labor Statistics data. A total of 4,482 cities are included in the study sample, accounting for more than 110 million Americans living in the United States.

Key findings:
- Primary findings suggest that after controlling for other factors, COPS Office hiring initiatives have resulted in a significant increase in police arrests for violent, drug, and social disorder offenses for the entire population of COPS Office grantees.
- A $1 increase per resident in the form of hiring grants was associated with a corresponding increase in police arrests for social disorder offenses of 22.16 arrests per 100,000 persons.
- Similarly, an increase of $1 in the form of Making Officer Redeployment Effective grants contributed to 49.49 additional police arrests for social disorder offenses per 100,000 population in the sample.


Summary: This report is an update to the earlier Urban Institute process evaluation of the COPS Office, titled National Evaluation of the COPS Program: Title I of the 1994 Crime Act. As part of a larger, multi-year study of the COPS Office, this 2002 report examines the progress of the COPS Office toward the goal of adding 100,000 officers to the nation’s communities through grants for hiring officers and civilians and acquiring technology. By using results from a telephone survey conducted with a nationally representative sample of police agencies in the summer of 2000, the report estimates the COPS Office’s impact. Two key issues are addressed in the report. First,
how many officers has the COPS Office added to U.S. police agencies? Second, how much of this increase has been short-term and how much will continue on a permanent, or at least indefinite, basis after the expiration of COPS Office grants?

Key findings:

- Results suggest that grantees will keep most hiring and civilian positions after their grants expire and that productivity gains from technology grants, while variable, will be close—on average—to those forecast when the grants were awarded.

- The overall estimate is that the COPS Office would add 98,000 officers to the nation's communities on a temporary basis between 1994 and 2005, within a likely range of 93,400 to 102,700 officers.

- After post-grant attrition of officer and civilian positions, it was estimated that the permanent, or at least indefinite, impact of the COPS Office post-2005 would be 82,000 officers, within a likely range of 69,100 to 92,200 officers.

- New officers will account for 60–65 percent of the temporary COPS Office effect and 55–60 percent of the permanent COPS Office effect, while productivity increases (measured in officer equivalents) stemming from technology grants and, to a lesser extent, civilian grants will account for the remainder.


Summary: Using six years of panel data, the authors examine the effects of the COPS Office grants awarded to 6,100 law enforcement agencies serving more than 145 million citizens. Their study focuses on estimates of impact on crime reduction (based on Uniform Crime Reporting data for violent and property crime) over time in jurisdictions receiving funding, using a model which controls for baseline levels of crime, socioeconomic characteristics, city size, population diversity, and population mobility. Interestingly, COPS Office funding was found to be much more effective in communities with at least 10,000 residents, implying that federal funding directly to local law enforcement agencies is an effective way to reduce crime in medium- and large-sized cities.

Key findings:

- Analysis shows that COPS Office hiring and innovative grants have led to reductions in local crime rates for cities with populations greater than 10,000.

- Findings also indicate that in cities with populations greater than 10,000, an increase in $1 of hiring grant funding per resident contributed to a corresponding decline of 5.26 violent crimes and 21.63 property crimes per 100,000 residents.

- An increase in $1 of innovative grant funding per resident contributed to a decline of 12.93 violent crimes and 45.53 property crimes per 100,000 persons.


Summary: This study addresses the question of whether the COPS Office program is an effective crime-fighting strategy by measuring the program’s impact on violent crime rates. It does not analyze the effect of adding officers to the street; it analyzes only the relationship between COPS Office funding and violent crime rates at the county level. To analyze the relationship of COPS Office grants to violent crime rates, Heritage Foundation researchers used data on each COPS Office grant, violent crime offenses and arrests at the county level, admissions to prison for violent crimes by county, county socioeconomic factors (employment, population characteristics, age distribution, and income per capita), and local government expenditures. Complete data for all five years were available for 752 counties. The average total population for these 752 counties during the period in question was about 143 million people, or approximately 53.8 percent of the average total U.S. population from 1994 to 1998.

Key findings:

- Analysis of the data shows that COPS Office grants for the hiring of additional police officers and grants for redeployment, known as Making Officer Redeployment Effective grants, do not have a statistically significant effect on reducing violent crime rates.

- The COPS Office’s miscellaneous grants, including funds for addressing such specific problems as gangs, domestic violence, and illegal use of firearms by youth, have a statistically significant effect on reducing violent crime rates.
For each additional $1 of miscellaneous COPS Office grants per capita, the expected level of violent crime declined by almost 16.2 incidents per 100,000 people.

Note that one limitation of the Heritage Foundation study is its use of city-level funding data and county-level crime data. Thus, it assumes that COPS Office grants to cities will have a significant effect on county violent crime rates. On average, for each of the 752 counties included in the report, the COPS Office provided funding to 62 percent of agencies within those counties.


**Summary:** This research empirically examines the contribution that funding provided by the COPS Office has had on the decline in U.S. crime rates from 1995 to 1999. Furthermore, it analyzes if this effect is different for very small versus larger jurisdictions. Six years of panel data (1994 to 1999) was assembled to assess the effect of COPS Office funding on crime rates while controlling for other factors that could influence the relationship. The COPS Office funding data was combined with Uniform Crime Report data, 1990 U.S. Census data, and Bureau of Labor Statistics data. A total of 6,100 cities are included in the study sample, accounting for more than 145 million people living in urban areas in the United States.

**Key findings:**

- After controlling for other factors, COPS Office hiring initiatives have resulted in significant reductions in local crime rates (for both violent and property crime) in cities with populations greater than 10,000.

- The COPS Office's innovative grant programs have had significant crime-reducing effects for the entire population of COPS Office grantees.

- In cities with populations greater than 10,000, an increase in $1 of hiring grants per resident contributed to a corresponding decline of 5.26 violent crimes and 21.63 property crimes per 100,000 residents. In addition, for the entire sample, an increase in $1 of innovative grant funding per resident has contributed to a decline of 12.26 violent crimes and 43.85 property crimes per 100,000 persons.

Census data indicates that more than 90 percent of persons in the United States live in places with populations greater than 10,000. Thus, COPS Office hiring grant programs appear to have a significant crime-reducing effect on the vast majority of the U.S. population. In addition, the COPS Office's innovative grant programs appear to produce a strong reduction in crime for all COPS Office grantees included in the study.


An Urban Institute evaluation of the first four years of the COPS Office program finds that the COPS Office provided significant support for the adoption of community policing around the country. The study also shows that the COPS Office made progress toward many of its other major goals, including the distribution of grants to hire tens of thousands of additional police officers.


**Summary:** This report is an independent process evaluation of the COPS Office program. Covering primarily the first four years of the COPS Office program but including some projections up to 2003, the evaluation, sponsored by the National Institute of Justice and conducted by the Urban Institute, is based on a series of nationwide telephone surveys, site visits, and case studies. The evaluation focuses primarily on COPS Office grants enabling law enforcement agencies to (1) hire police officers to engage in community policing activities and (2) redeploy existing officers to community policing by increasing officer productivity through the acquisition of technology or by freeing up officers for community policing by filling some officer-held positions with civilians. Key questions addressed in the report include the following: To what extent did the COPS Office program succeed in putting more officers on the street and, through its promotion of community policing, change the practice of policing in the
United States? Did the distribution of COPS Office officers mirror the disparity in crime levels among jurisdictions? How satisfied were grantees with the COPS Office application and administration processes? Have grantees engaged in community policing by building partnerships, solving problems, and doing crime prevention?

Key findings:

- By May 1999, 100,500 officers and equivalents had been funded. Of these, preliminary estimates indicated that between 84,700 and 89,400 would have been deployed by 2003. Because some officers would have departed before others began service, the federally funded increase (based on awards through May 1999) in policing levels was projected to peak in 2001 at between 69,000 and 84,600, before falling to 62,700–83,900 in 2003.

- The program accelerated transitions to locally defined versions of community policing. The COPS Office funds seem more likely to have fueled already-accelerating movements toward adoption of community policing than to have caused the acceleration.

- The COPS Office application procedures and customer service orientation resulted in many smaller police agencies reporting high levels of satisfaction with the program’s application and administrative processes. Larger agencies tended to find administrative requirements no less burdensome than those of other grant programs.

- The COPS Office program facilitated the efforts of agency chief executives who were inclined toward innovation and represented perhaps the largest effort to bolster development of law enforcement technology since the 1967 President’s Commission on Law Enforcement and Administration of Justice. COPS Office-funded technology is benefiting localities but was not yet meeting productivity projections at the time of the evaluation.